

**June 9, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1a. ADMISSION DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - May 18, 2005)**

<b>SITE</b>	<b>Number of Admissions</b>	<b>Homeless When Last Living in the Community %</b>	<b>Usually Unemployed Past 3 Years %</b>	<b>Usually Employed Full-Time Past 3 Years %</b>	<b>Competitively Employed Past 30 Days %</b>	<b>Using Alcohol Last 30 Days in the Comm. %</b>	<b>Using Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %</b>	<b>Using Etoh or Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %</b>	<b>Mean ASI ETOH at Admission</b>	<b>Mean ASI Drug at Admission</b>	<b>Mean ASI Psychiatric at Admission</b>	<b>Mean GAF Score at Admission</b>
(a) Albany 500	14	42.9%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	71.4%	66.7%	84.6%	0.20	0.18	0.10	52
(b) American Lake 505	23	82.6%	17.4%	34.8%	4.3%	17.4%	21.7%	30.4%	0.06	0.05	0.20	49
(a) Atlanta 508	4	50.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.10	0.25	0.09	43
(b) Battle Creek 515	12	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	91.7%	70.0%	100.0%	0.31	0.14	0.32	53
(a) Bedford 518	19	57.9%	15.8%	36.8%	0.0%	73.7%	44.4%	88.9%	0.34	0.12	0.32	53
(a) Birmingham 521	0											
(d) Bonham 522	0											
(b) Boston 523	14	71.4%	21.4%	21.4%	0.0%	85.7%	50.0%	92.9%	0.50	0.18	0.03	52
(c) Boston Women 523	2	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.02	0.26	0.35	37
(b) Cleveland 541	38	34.2%	0.0%	86.8%	0.0%	60.5%	53.1%	78.4%	0.14	0.08	0.02	52
(a) Dallas 549	7	71.4%	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	0.15	0.06	0.24	48
(b) Danville 550	2	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.10	0.00	0.19	53
(b) North Chicago 556	12	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	91.7%	91.7%	0.13	0.23	0.06	40
(b) Ft. Meade 568	10	50.0%	0.0%	70.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	70.0%	0.25	0.01	0.18	58
(b) Gainesville 573	4	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.33	0.11	0.30	66
(c) Hot Springs 579	6	50.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%	83.3%	0.18	0.00	0.31	58
(b) Kansas City 589	12	41.7%	8.3%	50.0%	0.0%	75.0%	83.3%	91.7%	0.46	0.25	0.34	58
(b) Hampton 590	24	16.7%	4.2%	66.7%	0.0%	70.8%	66.7%	83.3%	0.20	0.15	0.19	48
(a)(b) Lebanon 595	22	36.4%	0.0%	77.3%	0.0%	77.3%	54.5%	86.4%	0.27	0.16	0.22	55
(b) Little Rock 598	24	66.7%	8.3%	70.8%	0.0%	91.7%	75.0%	100.0%	0.28	0.30	0.26	49
(a) Lyons 604	9	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	55.6%	87.5%	87.5%	0.11	0.17	0.02	42
(b) Northampton 631	8	25.0%	0.0%	62.5%	0.0%	100.0%	57.1%	100.0%	0.33	0.15	0.33	40
(a) Oklahoma City 635	15	86.7%	13.3%	20.0%	0.0%	93.3%	80.0%	100.0%	0.49	0.30	0.19	44
(b) Palo Alto 640	5	100.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.18	0.07	0.12	63
(d) Perry Point 641	20	45.0%	35.0%	40.0%	60.0%	40.0%	45.0%	65.0%	0.16	0.09	0.16	52
(b) Pittsburgh 645	7	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	57.1%	50.0%	71.4%	0.15	0.07	0.08	56
(a) San Francisco 662	8	62.5%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	37.5%	12.5%	50.0%	0.11	0.03	0.14	63
(d) Tomah 676	11	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	81.8%	27.3%	81.8%	0.37	0.09	0.39	47
(b) Topeka 677	8	37.5%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%	50.0%	87.5%	0.18	0.18	0.38	48
(d) Tuscaloosa 679	3	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.37	0.10	0.32	55
(d) Milwaukee 695	5	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	80.0%	40.0%	80.0%	0.30	0.09	0.30	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>348</b>											
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>												<b>51</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>55.2%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>66.8%</b>	<b>51.5%</b>	<b>79.2%</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>27.1%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>7</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

**June 9, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1b. ADMISSION DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - May 18, 2005)**

<b>SITE</b>	<b>Number of Admissions</b>	<b>Substance Abuse Diagnosis %</b>	<b>Any Non-Substance Abuse Psych Diagnosis %</b>	<b>Serious Psychiatric Diagnosis † %</b>	<b>Dually Diagnosed †† %</b>	<b>Schizophrenia Diagnosis %</b>	<b>Psychosis Diagnosis (other than schizophrenia) %</b>	<b>Currently on Probation/ Parole %</b>	<b>Any Incarcerations %</b>
(a) Albany 500	14	92.9%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	57.1%
(b) American Lake 505	23	65.2%	78.3%	69.6%	43.5%	0.0%	8.7%	17.4%	82.6%
(a) Atlanta 508	4	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%
(b) Battle Creek 515	12	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	83.3%
(a) Bedford 518	19	89.5%	89.5%	84.2%	78.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	57.9%
(a) Birmingham 521	0								
(d) Bonham 522	0								
(b) Boston 523	14	92.9%	71.4%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	71.4%
(c) Boston 523 Women	2	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%
(b) Cleveland 541	38	94.7%	55.3%	34.2%	31.6%	0.0%	0.0%	18.4%	78.9%
(a) Dallas 549	7	100.0%	85.7%	85.7%	85.7%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	71.4%
(b) Danville 550	2	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
(b) North Chicago 556	12	100.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%
(b) Ft. Meade 568	10	80.0%	50.0%	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	80.0%
(b) Gainesville 573	4	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
(c) Hot Springs 579	6	83.3%	83.3%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%
(b) Kansas City 589	12	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%	91.7%
(b) Hampton 590	24	100.0%	58.3%	45.8%	45.8%	0.0%	4.2%	20.8%	79.2%
(a)(b) Lebanon 595	22	100.0%	45.5%	47.6%	45.5%	0.0%	4.5%	42.9%	72.7%
(b) Little Rock 598	24	95.8%	29.2%	25.0%	20.8%	4.2%	0.0%	8.3%	91.7%
(a) Lyons 604	9	88.9%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%
(b) Northampton 631	8	100.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	62.5%
(a) Oklahoma City 635	15	100.0%	46.7%	46.7%	46.7%	6.7%	0.0%	6.7%	80.0%
(b) Palo Alto 640	5	100.0%	100.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%
(d) Perry Point 641	20	84.2%	50.0%	55.6%	35.0%	0.0%	11.1%	30.0%	65.0%
(b) Pittsburgh 645	7	100.0%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	71.4%
(a) San Francisco 662	8	100.0%	37.5%	37.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	62.5%
(d) Tomah 676	11	90.9%	90.9%	90.9%	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	90.9%
(b) Topeka 677	8	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	87.5%
(d) Tuscaloosa 679	3	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%
(d) Milwaukee 695	5	100.0%	100.0%	60.0%	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	80.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>348</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>93.4%</b>	<b>59.0%</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>44.2%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>75.6%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>26.4%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

† Serious psychiatric diagnosis is defined as having a psychiatric diagnosis that falls into one of the following categories: schizophrenia; other psychotic disorder; mood disorder, and PTSD.

†† Dually diagnosed is defined as having a substance abuse disorder and a serious mental illness.

**June 9, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 2. Community-Oriented Programs Environment Scale (COPEs) FY05 (October 1, 2004- May 18, 2005)†**

SITE	SUBSCALE MEANS										CINDEX <sup>††</sup>
	Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions				System Maintenance Dimensions			
	Involvement	Support	Spontaneity	Autonomy	Practical Orientation	Personal Problem Orientation	Anger and Aggression	Order and Organization	Program Clarity	Staff Control	
Albany 500 (N=11)	3.09	3.36	1.55	1.73	3.64	1.82	1.73	3.55	3.91	3.45	2.90
American Lake 505 (N=18)	3.17	3.44	2.17	1.89	2.22	1.50	0.83	3.39	3.61	3.00	2.71
Atlanta 508 (N=4)	3.25	3.25	0.50	1.50	3.75	3.00	2.00	3.50	2.75	3.75	2.81
Battle Creek 515 (N=11)	3.00	3.18	1.27	1.64	3.45	2.27	2.36	3.27	3.27	3.45	2.76
Bedford 518 (N=19)	3.68	3.37	2.47	2.53	3.53	1.84	0.74	3.58	3.63	3.32	3.11
Birmingham 521 (N=0)											
Bonham 522 (N=0)											
Boston 523 (N=11)	3.55	3.64	3.09	2.09	3.45	2.73	1.64	3.64	3.82	3.27	3.25
Boston 523 Women (N=1)	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	0.00	1.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00
Cleveland 541 (N=35)	3.91	3.80	1.51	2.97	3.49	3.23	0.26	3.89	3.89	3.23	3.32
Dallas 549 (N=4)	3.75	3.50	0.50	1.25	2.75	2.00	1.25	3.50	4.00	3.75	2.78
Danville 550 (N=1)	4.00	3.00	0.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.78
North Chicago 556 (N=8)	3.50	3.63	1.50	2.00	3.50	3.25	1.50	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.13
Ft. Meade 568 (N=6)	2.33	2.50	1.33	2.00	2.67	1.83	2.00	2.33	2.17	2.67	2.20
Gainesville 573 (N=3)	3.67	3.67	2.67	2.00	3.00	3.00	1.33	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.22
Hot Springs 579 (N=4)	3.75	3.25	1.75	1.25	4.00	2.00	1.00	3.25	4.00	3.00	2.92
Kansas City 589 (N=7)	3.71	3.86	2.00	2.00	3.86	1.57	0.86	3.43	4.00	3.43	3.10
Hampton 590 (N=17)	3.12	2.82	1.47	1.59	3.47	2.71	1.59	3.53	3.47	3.29	2.83
Lebanon 595 (N=16)	2.81	2.81	1.75	1.75	2.63	2.06	1.81	3.25	3.38	3.13	2.62
Little Rock 598 (N=16)	3.56	3.50	1.13	1.69	2.69	2.13	1.31	3.56	3.75	3.31	2.81
Lyons 604 (N=5)	4.00	3.60	1.80	1.60	2.80	2.20	1.00	3.40	3.60	3.40	2.93
Northampton 631 (N=8)	4.00	3.88	3.88	2.88	3.38	4.00	0.38	4.00	3.75	3.00	3.64
Oklahoma City 635 (N=13)	2.85	3.15	1.54	1.54	3.15	1.31	1.92	3.38	3.62	3.23	2.64
Palo Alto 640 (N=5)	3.60	3.60	2.40	2.80	3.20	3.20	0.40	3.80	3.80	3.00	3.27
Perry Point 641 (N=20)	3.60	3.35	1.00	2.35	3.65	2.15	1.80	3.45	3.65	3.25	2.94
Pittsburgh 645 (N=9)	3.44	3.33	2.22	2.44	3.44	2.67	0.89	3.44	3.67	3.33	3.11
San Francisco 662 (N=9)	3.44	3.00	1.89	2.00	2.89	0.78	0.89	3.67	3.67	3.00	2.70
Tomah 676 (N=5)	3.80	3.40	3.40	2.40	3.80	2.20	0.40	3.80	4.00	3.20	3.33
Topeka 677 (N=5)	3.80	3.20	2.20	2.40	3.20	1.60	0.60	3.40	4.00	3.40	3.02
Milwaukee 695 (N=3)	4.00	3.67	2.00	2.33	4.00	3.33	0.67	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.44
Site Average	3.51	3.38	1.86	2.02	3.31	2.23	1.15	3.52	3.67	3.26	2.97
Site S.D.	0.42	0.35	0.87	0.46	0.47	0.84	0.62	0.34	0.41	0.25	0.30
American Normative Sample	2.71	2.76	2.11	1.97	2.26	1.82	1.66	2.97	3.05	2.26	

(N=54 Programs)

† COPEs subscales scores range 0-4.

†† Cindex is the mean of 9 of the 10 COPEs subscales. The anger & aggression subscale has been omitted.

June 9, 2005  
COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM

**Table 3. Work Environment Scale (WES) Data FY05 (October 1, 2004 - May 18, 2005)†**

SITE	SUBSCALE MEANS										WINDEX††
	Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions			System Maintenance and System Change Dimensions				
	Involvement	Peer Cohesion	Supervisor Support	Autonomy	Task Orientation	Work Pressure	Clarity	Control	Innovation	Physical Comfort	
Albany 500 (N=11)	4.91	4.27	5.27	5.18	7.27	3.00	7.73	7.55	2.73	5.82	5.64
American Lake 505 (N=18)	6.78	5.89	6.44	6.11	7.78	3.17	7.61	7.00	3.89	6.44	6.44
Atlanta 508 (N=4)	6.00	5.75	6.75	4.00	8.25	2.50	8.00	7.75	2.00	6.50	6.11
Battle Creek 515 (N=11)	5.73	6.00	6.55	4.82	6.64	3.18	7.09	6.82	3.64	5.73	5.89
Bedford 518 (N=19)	5.11	5.42	5.68	5.21	5.95	3.21	6.26	6.42	2.89	5.47	5.38
Birmingham 521 (N=0)											
Bonham 522 (N=0)											
Boston 523 (N=11)	5.09	5.09	5.36	4.36	6.91	3.09	7.18	6.18	2.82	4.55	5.28
Boston 523 Women (N=1)	7.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	7.00	1.00	9.00	8.00	2.00	9.00	6.11
Cleveland 541 (N=35)	6.11	5.83	6.97	5.80	7.91	5.46	8.00	7.49	3.06	5.51	6.30
Dallas 549 (N=4)	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.25	6.75	4.25	7.50	8.75	3.75	7.25	5.92
Danville 550 (N=1)	7.00	4.00	8.00	6.00	8.00	1.00	9.00	8.00	4.00	7.00	6.78
North Chicago 556 (N=8)	8.13	6.88	7.13	5.63	7.88	2.75	7.88	7.88	4.38	6.88	6.96
Ft. Meade 568 (N=6)	5.33	5.00	6.67	5.83	7.50	4.33	7.67	5.50	4.00	6.50	6.00
Gainesville 573 (N=3)	6.00	5.33	6.33	5.67	8.00	1.67	7.67	7.67	3.00	5.67	6.15
Hot Springs 579 (N=4)	7.00	6.50	7.25	5.50	7.75	3.25	8.00	6.00	5.25	6.50	6.64
Kansas City 589 (N=7)	7.29	6.57	5.86	6.14	7.43	2.57	8.29	6.43	4.71	7.00	6.63
Hampton 590 (N=17)	5.41	5.94	6.41	4.94	6.71	2.47	6.94	7.06	2.88	5.94	5.80
Lebanon 595 (N=16)	4.50	5.69	5.88	4.75	6.50	3.06	7.06	7.13	2.75	6.19	5.60
Little Rock 598 (N=16)	5.81	4.94	6.06	5.13	7.06	1.69	7.06	6.25	3.38	7.06	5.86
Lyons 604 (N=5)	5.20	5.20	5.40	5.80	7.40	2.80	7.60	6.80	2.60	4.80	5.64
Northampton 631 (N=8)	7.63	7.25	7.13	8.00	7.13	1.00	8.25	7.38	6.75	7.00	7.39
Oklahoma City 635 (N=13)	6.00	4.92	6.38	6.08	6.69	2.46	7.00	6.38	3.46	5.85	5.86
Palo Alto 640 (N=5)	7.20	5.00	6.80	5.80	7.20	4.20	6.60	5.60	3.80	7.40	6.16
Perry Point 641 (N=20)	5.84	5.37	6.16	6.00	6.84	4.21	7.11	6.58	3.74	5.58	5.91
Pittsburgh 645 (N=9)	7.33	6.00	6.11	4.67	7.22	2.78	7.67	7.56	4.67	7.33	6.51
San Francisco 662 (N=9)	5.67	5.56	5.44	4.78	6.33	3.89	6.67	6.22	4.22	5.89	5.64
Tomah 676 (N=5)	6.20	6.20	7.20	6.00	7.20	2.60	7.60	7.00	4.60	7.40	6.60
Topeka 677 (N=5)	7.80	6.40	6.40	7.00	8.00	3.00	8.40	7.00	4.80	7.60	7.04
Milwaukee 695 (N=3)	8.67	7.33	7.67	6.00	8.67	1.33	8.33	6.00	4.33	8.33	7.26
Site Average	6.28	5.55	6.33	5.55	7.28	2.85	7.61	6.94	3.72	6.51	6.20
Site S.D.	1.08	0.99	0.79	0.81	0.63	1.09	0.67	0.80	1.04	1.01	0.56
Normative Sample											
General Work Setting (N=1,446 employees)	5.95	5.70	5.68	5.54	5.90	4.40	5.60	4.88	4.42	4.89	

† WES subscales scores range 0-9.

†† Windex is the mean of 9 of the 10 WES subscales. The work pressure subscale has been omitted.

**June 9, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4a. DISCHARGE DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - May 18, 2005)**

<b>SITE</b>	<b>Number of Dis- charges†</b>	<b>Mean Length of Stay</b>	<b>Avg Wkly Rent Paid</b>	<b>Avg CWT Wkly Earnings</b>	<b>Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings</b>	<b>Total Avg Wkly Earnings††</b>	<b>Mutual Agreed D/C %</b>	<b>Failure to Comply w/ Prog. Req. %</b>	<b>Left Before Planned Discharge %</b>
Albany 500	12	116.7	\$45.94	\$186.62	\$16.56	\$203.18	33.3%	58.3%	8.3%
American Lake 505	27	141.3	\$48.14	\$159.96	\$48.36	\$208.32	55.6%	25.9%	18.5%
Atlanta 508	0								
Battle Creek 515	11	101.8	\$44.72	\$190.84	\$0.00	\$190.84	54.5%	18.2%	18.2%
Bedford 518	32	200.1	\$54.66	\$239.53	\$20.01	\$259.54	68.8%	12.5%	15.6%
Birmingham 521	0								
Bonham 522	0								
Boston 523	10	298.6	\$87.88	\$298.48	\$74.63	\$373.11	80.0%	10.0%	10.0%
Boston 523 Women	0								
Cleveland 541	29	153.9	\$59.68	\$234.25	\$7.54	\$241.79	48.3%	13.8%	37.9%
Dallas 549	11	220.6	\$57.57	\$190.18	\$0.33	\$190.51	45.5%	18.2%	36.4%
Danville 550	2	320.0	\$28.64	\$138.36	\$107.14	\$245.51	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Chicago 556	13	200.4	\$45.24	\$158.80	\$49.29	\$208.10	15.4%	46.2%	38.5%
Ft. Meade 568	10	180.7	\$36.83	\$136.39	\$19.67	\$156.06	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%
Gainesville 573	8	134.1	\$64.70	\$186.68	\$54.34	\$241.02	62.5%	25.0%	0.0%
Hot Springs 579	7	172.9	\$37.05	\$149.06	\$110.92	\$259.98	57.1%	14.3%	28.6%
Kansas City 589	14	157.3	\$55.98	\$195.24	\$23.75	\$218.99	14.3%	64.3%	21.4%
Hampton 590	24	134.0	\$41.94	\$171.18	\$36.46	\$207.64	58.3%	25.0%	12.5%
Lebanon 595	21	102.6	\$48.02	\$247.71	\$7.39	\$255.09	42.9%	33.3%	23.8%
Little Rock 598	32	190.5	\$50.26	\$196.78	\$12.96	\$209.74	53.1%	18.8%	28.1%
Lyons 604	9	229.8	\$52.23	\$217.33	\$46.28	\$263.61	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%
Northampton 631	3	193.3	\$53.86	\$205.29	\$0.00	\$205.29	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Oklahoma City 635	7	131.0	\$38.66	\$184.62	\$0.00	\$184.62	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%
Palo Alto 640	5	340.4	\$66.57	\$315.52	\$33.25	\$348.77	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Perry Point 641	22	227.9	\$33.54	\$62.18	\$136.18	\$198.36	50.0%	22.7%	22.7%
Pittsburgh 645	7	216.6	\$34.84	\$167.77	\$58.15	\$225.92	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
San Francisco 662	5	306.0	\$50.21	\$176.84	\$239.65	\$416.49	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tomah 676	8	166.4	\$60.88	\$202.59	\$29.33	\$231.92	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Topeka 677	10	244.8	\$51.06	\$210.23	\$0.00	\$210.23	40.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Milwaukee 695	6	209.3	\$69.58	\$378.90	\$3.18	\$382.07	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>345</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>11.5</b>	<b>195.8</b>	<b>\$50.72</b>	<b>\$200.05</b>	<b>\$43.67</b>	<b>\$243.72</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>24.4%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>65.8</b>	<b>\$12.98</b>	<b>\$60.91</b>	<b>\$54.48</b>	<b>\$65.41</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>16.8%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

††If there are errors/omissions for questions #17-17b or #18-18b on the discharge summary the "Total Avg Wkly Earnings" will not equal the sum of "Avg CWT Wkly Earnings" and "Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings."

**June 9, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4b. DISCHARGE DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - May 18, 2005)**

<b>SITE</b>	<b>Number of Discharges †</b>	<b>Housed at D/C %</b>	<b>Institution- alized at D/C†† %</b>	<b>Competitive Emp. at D/C %</b>	<b>In CWT at D/C %</b>	<b>Unem- ployed at D/C %</b>	<b>Student or Vocational Training at D/C %</b>	<b>Retired or Disabled at D/C %</b>	<b>Mutually Agreed D/C, Housed &amp; Comp. Emp at D/C %</b>	<b>Mean GAF Score At D/C</b>
Albany 500	12	66.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%	25.0%	52
American Lake 505	27	88.9%	0.0%	48.1%	7.4%	48.1%	3.7%	7.4%	29.6%	60
Atlanta 508	0									
Battle Creek 515	11	27.3%	36.4%	27.3%	18.2%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	53
Bedford 518	32	78.1%	6.3%	15.6%	61.3%	25.8%	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	61
Birmingham 521	0									
Bonham 522	0									
Boston 523	10	100.0%	0.0%	20.0%	70.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	56
Boston 523 Women	0									
Cleveland 541	29	62.1%	17.2%	31.0%	10.3%	24.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	60
Dallas 549	11	100.0%	0.0%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	53
Danville 550	2	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	60
North Chicago 556	13	69.2%	15.4%	38.5%	0.0%	61.5%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	50
Ft. Meade 568	10	70.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	53
Gainesville 573	8	75.0%	12.5%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	78
Hot Springs 579	7	85.7%	0.0%	71.4%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%	57.1%	63
Kansas City 589	14	71.4%	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	64.3%	7.1%	0.0%	14.3%	54
Hampton 590	24	91.7%	4.2%	50.0%	4.2%	41.7%	0.0%	4.2%	41.7%	52
Lebanon 595	21	71.4%	23.8%	38.1%	9.5%	52.4%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	56
Little Rock 598	32	84.4%	0.0%	50.0%	6.3%	37.5%	9.4%	0.0%	37.5%	59
Lyons 604	9	77.8%	11.1%	55.6%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%	46
Northampton 631	3	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	49
Oklahoma City 635	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	53
Palo Alto 640	5	100.0%	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	77
Perry Point 641	22	72.7%	9.1%	50.0%	4.5%	18.2%	4.5%	22.7%	27.3%	56
Pittsburgh 645	7	100.0%	0.0%	85.7%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%	70
San Francisco 662	5	80.0%	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	67
Tomah 676	8	75.0%	12.5%	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	37.5%	61
Topeka 677	10	60.0%	30.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	61
Milwaukee 695	6	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	63
<b>Total</b>	<b>345</b>									
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>										<b>58</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>11.5</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>33.9%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>20.8%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>8</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

††Includes institution, halfway house/transitional living programs, and prison.

**June 9, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 5. THREE-MONTH FOLLOW UP DATA FOR FY05 (October 1, 2004 - May 18, 2005)**

<b>SITE</b>	<b>Number of 3-Month Follow-ups Interviewed</b>	<b>Etoh and Drug Free Past 3 Months %</b>	<b>Housed Past 90 Days† %</b>	<b>Mean Nights Housed Past 90 Days</b>	<b>Currently in Competitive Employment %</b>	<b>Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment Past 3 Months %</b>	<b>Currently in CWT %</b>	<b>Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment or in CWT Past 3 Months %</b>	<b>Mean Total Income Past 30 Days</b>	<b>Mean GAF Score at 3-month Follow-up</b>
Albany 500	16	56.3%	68.8%	72.1	62.5%	37.5%	18.8%	43.8%	\$1,134.44	54
American Lake 505	22	63.6%	77.3%	73.9	63.6%	50.0%	13.6%	54.5%	\$1,155.95	61
Atlanta 508	0									
Battle Creek 515	7	57.1%	85.7%	77.1	85.7%	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	\$1,438.43	74
Bedford 518	3	100.0%	66.7%	60.0	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	\$591.33	67
Birmingham 521	0									
Bonham 522	0									
Boston 523	6	50.0%	50.0%	70.0	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	\$930.17	55
Boston 523 Women	6	83.3%	16.7%	28.7	40.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	\$2,133.17	56
Cleveland 541	12	83.3%	83.3%	75.0	91.7%	66.7%	8.3%	66.7%	\$1,159.17	66
Dallas 549	11	63.6%	72.7%	74.6	40.0%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%	\$1,126.36	55
Danville 550	2	50.0%	50.0%	66.0	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	\$703.50	59
North Chicago 556	3	100.0%	66.7%	60.0	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$1,233.33	70
Ft. Meade 568	9	77.8%	66.7%	70.8	11.1%	0.0%	66.7%	44.4%	\$1,016.00	58
Gainesville 573	3	100.0%	66.7%	60.0	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$1,168.00	82
Hot Springs 579	2	100.0%	50.0%	45.0	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$2,000.00	70
Kansas City 589	2	50.0%	100.0%	90.0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	\$350.50	
Hampton 590	5	80.0%	100.0%	90.0	80.0%	60.0%	20.0%	80.0%	\$2,535.00	53
Lebanon 595	13	76.9%	69.2%	72.9	76.9%	53.8%	0.0%	53.8%	\$1,025.38	60
Little Rock 598	8	87.5%	87.5%	84.4	62.5%	62.5%	42.9%	87.5%	\$1,438.75	70
Lyons 604	3	66.7%	100.0%	90.0	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$1,746.33	53
Northampton 631	0									
Oklahoma City 635	13	53.8%	46.2%	64.9	53.8%	46.2%	15.4%	46.2%	\$1,066.23	56
Palo Alto 640	3	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	\$1,409.67	78
Perry Point 641	5	80.0%	40.0%	55.4	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	\$906.00	48
Pittsburgh 645	5	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	80.0%	0.0%	80.0%	\$1,432.00	66
San Francisco 662	1	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	\$2,150.00	75
Tomah 676	3	33.3%	100.0%	90.0	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	\$1,141.00	57
Topeka 677	10	40.0%	60.0%	66.5	80.0%	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	\$973.50	64
Milwaukee 695	7	85.7%	85.7%	85.7	57.1%	57.1%	28.6%	71.4%	\$1,857.86	64
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>									
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>										<b>61</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>72.8</b>	<b>59.2%</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>\$1,300.85</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>25.1%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>\$510.86</b>	<b>9</b>

†Housed past 90 days is defined as being either in own apartment, room, or house; someone else's apt., room or house; or a hotel, SRO, or boarding home for at least 90 days.

**Revised 6/10/05**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 6. Three-Month Follow-Up Rates by Fiscal Year and by Site.**

<b>SITE</b>	<b>FY92</b>	<b>FY93</b>	<b>FY94</b>	<b>FY95</b>	<b>FY96</b>	<b>FY97</b>	<b>FY98</b>	<b>FY99</b>	<b>FY00</b>	<b>FY01</b>	<b>FY02</b>	<b>FY03</b>	<b>FY04†</b>	<b>FY05†</b>
	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>	<b>3-Month</b>
Albany 500	100.0%	66.7%	83.3%	100.0%	89.5%	76.9%	72.7%	70.8%	40.0%	26.9%	11.1%	57.1%	92.3%	100.0%
American Lake 505	73.7%	85.7%	76.2%	86.3%	63.3%	26.3%	0.0%	33.3%	22.7%	36.7%	41.2%	60.0%	69.8%	66.7%
Atlanta 508					75.0%	66.7%	66.7%	46.7%	55.6%	26.7%	0.0%	37.5%	15.4%	0.0%
Battle Creek 515	100.0%	93.3%	84.4%	77.3%	90.3%	96.4%	94.3%	90.0%	87.5%	17.7%	6.3%	5.6%	34.8%	11.1%
Bedford 518					70.4%	58.5%	28.1%	22.5%	35.2%	31.5%	36.8%	28.2%	41.2%	0.0%
Birmingham 521													0.0%	0.0%
Boston 523	80.0%	71.4%	84.2%	100.0%	100.0%	96.2%	100.0%	88.2%	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	82.4%	80.0%	71.4%
Boston 523 Women									100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cleveland 541						35.7%	64.3%	46.9%	57.4%	48.0%	28.6%	65.0%	12.8%	31.3%
Dallas 549	50.0%	85.7%	57.1%	76.5%	65.2%	75.9%	93.3%	92.3%	57.9%	44.4%	75.0%	88.9%	76.0%	62.5%
Danville 550											63.6%	54.5%	63.6%	0.0%
North Chicago 556	60.0%	57.9%	71.9%	84.4%	92.0%	94.6%	74.2%	52.9%	36.4%	36.7%	41.4%	55.6%	26.9%	25.0%
Ft. Meade 568	80.0%	87.0%	70.0%	70.0%	33.3%	53.3%	47.1%	83.3%	84.6%	30.8%	83.3%	50.0%	47.8%	50.0%
Gainesville 573										66.7%	58.8%	52.9%	61.5%	33.3%
Hot Springs 579													100.0%	40.0%
Kansas City 589				100.0%	35.3%	40.4%	40.9%	33.3%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	0.0%	18.2%
Hampton 590	51.6%	73.0%	75.0%	81.1%	87.5%	63.8%	62.3%	57.1%	48.7%	40.0%	38.9%	51.3%	16.7%	18.2%
Knoxville 592	87.5%	72.7%	90.9%	81.3%	95.0%	15.8%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Lebanon 595					100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%	11.1%	30.0%	26.7%	38.9%	5.6%	50.0%
Little Rock 598	88.2%	86.2%	92.0%	78.6%	77.8%	58.5%	61.7%	24.5%	27.8%	30.6%	4.3%	28.2%	12.0%	33.3%
Lyons 604									11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	68.8%	47.1%	33.3%
Northampton 631	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	69.4%	57.1%	29.4%	96.3%	95.7%	28.1%	41.7%	38.1%	43.5%	28.6%	0.0%
Oklahoma City 635			100.0%	91.9%	36.7%	62.5%	66.7%	18.5%	26.1%	59.3%	57.9%	54.1%	62.8%	76.9%
Palo Alto 640	66.7%	75.0%	61.5%	75.0%	66.7%	44.4%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	68.4%	80.0%	65.0%	72.7%	75.0%
Perry Point 641													8.0%	33.3%
Pittsburgh 645	100.0%	71.4%	64.7%	71.4%	56.3%	50.0%	76.9%	57.7%	72.7%	54.6%	52.4%	77.8%	84.2%	41.7%
San Francisco 662				50.0%	100.0%	90.0%	85.0%	83.3%	52.2%	50.0%	37.5%	75.0%	71.4%	20.0%
Tomah 676									0.0%	6.7%	26.3%	30.0%	44.4%	50.0%
Topeka 677	60.0%	68.8%	64.4%	64.9%	97.1%	60.5%	36.8%	47.4%	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%	76.2%	68.8%	83.3%
Milwaukee 695											66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>77.5%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>49.8%</b>	<b>41.4%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>32.4%</b>	<b>30.5%</b>

† The percents for FY04 and FY05 under-represent 3-month follow-up rates as NEPEC is still expecting more data from sites.